



International Water Institute - 2020 River Watch Forum Project Judging

RW team (School Name):

Video Title (if provided):

Requirements for Eligibility (automatic disqualification if not met)				Yes	No
Is the video between 2-4 minutes?					
Can the program handout (i.e. description and "program pitch") be printed on one piece of paper?					
Were video and handout submitted by March 11th?					
	4	3	2	1	0
Background Research	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Absent
Does the video provide a brief yet informative introduction to the Red River Basin?					
Does the video indicate the RW Team has a thorough understanding of their specified subwatershed?					
Program Development					
Is there a clearly exhibited need for the program?					
Is there a clearly defined learning objective(s) identified for the program?					
Is the design of the program fitting for the targeted audience (of either K, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 18+)?					
Delivery/Evaluation					
Does the video capture program delivery to the target audience?					
Did the River Watch Team evaluate the program's effectiveness of meeting stated learning objective(s) and represent the results in the video ?					
Did the River Watch Team evaluate the program's effectiveness of meeting stated learning objective(s) and represent the results in the handout ?					
Creativity/Skill					
Has the River Watch Team shown creativity in the development and content of the educational program?					
Has the River Watch Team shown creativity in the design of program handout ?					
Has the River Watch Team shown creativity in the design of video ?					
Does the lesson and video reflect originality (is it unique)?					
Totals	0	0	0	0	Subtotal
Notes					0

- 4 - Excellent: exceeds expectations
- 3 - Good: meets expectations
- 2 - Fair: meets some but not all expectations
- 1 - Poor: significant gaps and/or shortcomings
- 0 - Absent: not addressed or explained